

SUNDERLAND SAFE ROADS  
4/22/15 LOCAL CONCERNS MEETING

PRESENT: Marie Litowinsky, Matt Vernon, Colleen and Scott York, Jim Sullivan, Chrissy Haskins

There were 14 residents present at the meeting. An introduction and synopsis of the Sunderland Safe Roads Committee and agenda began the meeting.

Chrissy Haskins, project consultant from Dufresne then introduced base map and possible alternatives which include: full length bike and pedestrian facility, widening of shoulders and signage, signage only, spot improvements - where it is safer no improvement and look for where improvement is needed and no build which means do nothing.

Following is a list of input, questions and concerns expressed by the public:

- utility poles
- who maintains if anything is constructed
- speed of vehicles on Sunderland Hill Rd.
- debris, cracks on road
- who votes on which alternative is chosen
- will the study provide cost effective estimates
- support for the project from someone who walks on SHR
- how to get more town support, how to get the word out for future meetings, opt in email list
- kids do ride bikes on SHR and it would be good if it was safer for them
- education of cyclists and pedestrians
- funding options
- lowering speed limit
- will narrowing of lane striping slow down speed
- more patrolling of SHR by sheriff
- liability issues
- why were there no select board members at the meeting

Some of the issues/ concerns expressed were beyond the scope of this study and committee members suggested the resident to discuss their concerns at a select board meeting or town meeting.

Residents noted cost is a factor in a small town. Committee members reiterated that cost effectiveness and least impact on residents are uppermost in their minds.

Chrissy explained what happens next. She noted that there will be a field crew doing an archaeological and historical study. Surveyors will be doing a right of way study. There will be two more public meetings. The next will be in early September. At that meeting alternatives will be presented with cost estimates. There will be opportunities for public input. The third public meeting will be when Dufresne provides a written draft of proposals to the select board. At that point select board, again with public input can choose to endorse or not.

Respectfully submitted,

Colleen York